FENWICK & WEST LLP

SILICON VALLEY CENTER   801 CALIFORNIA STREET   MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041
TEL 650.988.8500   FAX 650.938.5200   WWW.FENWICK.COM

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
CONFIDENTIAL

DATE: 4/22/2008

TO:

NAME	FAX NO.	PHONE NO.
Phuong Hoang	571-273-3763	

FROM: Nikhil Iyengar   **PHONE:**   415-875-2367

NUMBER OF PAGES WITH COVER PAGE: 11	

MESSAGE:

Dear Examiner Hoang:

Attached are amendments as discussed for 10/814,418.

Nikhil Iyengar
Fenwick & West LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
415-875-2367
415-281-1350 (fax)
niyengar@fenwick.com

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE OR THEIR DESIGNEE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, OR IF THEY ARE NOT CLEAR,
PLEASE CALL COPY & FAX SERVICES AT (650) 335-7309 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.**

APPLICANTS: Omar Habib Khan et al.

SERIAL NO.:   10/814,418

FILING DATE: March 31, 2004

TITLE: Methods and Systems for Capturing Information

EXAMINER: Phuong N. Hoang

GROUP ART UNIT: 2194

ATTY. DKT. NO.:   24207-10074

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT

This amendment was discussed in a telephone call initiated by Examiner between Examiner and Applicant's representative Nikhil Iyengar. Examiner agreed that an Examiner's amendment as described below would put the application in a condition for allowance.

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please amend the Abstract (paragraph [0061]) as follows:

[0061] Systems and methods for capturing information are described. In one embodiment, an event having an associated article is identified, article data associated with the article is identified, and a capture score for the event is determined based at least in part on article data. Article data can comprise, for example, one or a combination of a location of the article, a file-type of the article, and access data for the article. Event data associated with the event is compiled responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and a threshold value.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1, 26, 49, and 52 are amended herein, and claims 17 and 42 are canceled. All pending claims are produced below.

1.   (Currently Amended) A method, comprising:

    identifying an event, the event comprising a user interaction with an article stored on a client device;

    identifying article data associated with the article;

    determining a capture score for the event based at least in part on the article data, the determining comprising:

        populating one or more fields of an event schema responsive to the article data;

        associating one or more weights with the one or more fields of the event schema, a weight being a value indicating a relative importance of a field; and

        generating the capture score responsive at least in part to the weights and contents of the populated fields of the event schema; and

    compiling event data associated with the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and a threshold value, the threshold value determined based at least in part on user behavior, the compiling comprising storing the contents of one or more fields of the event schema.

2-4.   (Cancelled)

5.   (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the article data comprises a location of the article.

6.   (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein the capture score is determined at least in part by associating a weight with the location of the article.

7.   (Original) The method of claim 6, wherein the weight is determined at least in part by user behavior.

8. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the article data comprises a file type of the article.

9. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein the capture score is determined at least in part by associating a weight with the file type of the article.

10. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein the weight is determined at least in part by user behavior.

11. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the article data comprises access data associated with the article.

12. (Original) The method of claim 11, wherein access data comprises recency associated with access of the article.

13. (Original) The method of claim 11, wherein access data comprises frequency associated with access of the article.

14. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the capture score is determined at least in part by associating at least one weight with the article data.

15. (Original) The method of claim 14, wherein the weight is determined at least in part by user behavior.

16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the threshold value is predetermined.

17. (Canceled)

18. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising indexing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising storing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

20.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising not indexing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

21.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising not storing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

22.   (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the event is a historical event.

23.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5, wherein the location of the article comprises a directory identifier in which the article is stored.

24.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the article is identified during a crawl of a memory or an associated storage device of the client device.

25.   (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising determining if the article meets at least one criterion and not capturing the event if the article meets the criterion.

26.   (Currently Amended) A computer-readable storage medium containing program code executable by a processor, comprising:

         program code for identifying an event, the event comprising a user interaction with an article stored on a client device;

         program code for identifying article data associated with the article;

         program code for determining a capture score for the event based at least in part on the article data, the determining comprising:

            populating one or more fields of an event schema responsive to the article data;

            associating one or more weights with the one or more fields of the event schema, a weight being a value indicating a relative importance of a field; and

            generating the capture score responsive at least in part to the weights and contents of the populated fields of the event schema; and

         program code for compiling event data associated with the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and a threshold value, the

<u>threshold value determined based at least in part on user behavior</u>, the compiling comprising storing the contents of one or more fields of the event schema.

27-29. (Cancelled)

30.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the article data comprises a location of the article.

31.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 30, wherein the capture score is determined at least in part by associating a weight with the location of the article.

32.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 31, wherein the weight is determined at least in part by user behavior.

33.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the article data comprises a file type of the article.

34.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 33, wherein the capture score is determined at least in part by associating a weight with the file type of the article.

35.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 34, wherein the weight is determined at least in part by user behavior.

36.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the article data comprises access data associated with the article.

37.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 36, wherein access data comprises recency associated with access of the article.

38.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 36, wherein access data comprises frequency associated with access of the article.

39.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 37, wherein the capture score is determined at least in part by associating at least one weight with the article data.

40.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 39, wherein the weight is determined at least in part by user behavior.

41.   (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the threshold value is predetermined.

42.   (Canceled)

43.   (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, further comprising program code for indexing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

44.   (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, further comprising program code for storing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

45.   (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, further comprising program code for not indexing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

46.   (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, further comprising program code for not storing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and the threshold value.

47.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the event is a historical event.

48.   (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 30, wherein the location of the article comprises a directory identifier in which the article is stored.

49.   (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the article is identified during a crawl of memory or an associated storage device of [[a]] the client device.

50.   (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, further comprising program code for determining if the article meets at least one criterion and not capturing the event if the article meets the criterion.

51.   (Canceled)

52.   (Currently Amended) A computer system having a processor, comprising:
    a means executed on the processor for determining a capture score for an event,
        the event comprising a user interaction with an article stored on a client
        device, the determining comprising:
        populating one or more fields of an event schema responsive to article data
            associated with the article;
        associating one or more weights with the one or more fields of the event
            schema, a weight being a value indicating a relative importance of
            a field; and
        generating the capture score responsive at least in part to the weights and
            contents of the populated fields of the event schema; and
    a means executed on the processor for indexing the event responsive at least in
        part to a comparison of the capture score and a threshold value, the
        threshold value determined based at least in part on user behavior.

53.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 52, further comprising a means executed on the processor for storing the event responsive at least in part to a comparison of the capture score and a threshold value.

54.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the threshold value is an average of capture scores for other events.

55.   (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the
       threshold value is an average of capture scores for other events.

REMARKS

Based on the amendments herein, please consider the application for allowance.

Date: April 22, 2008   By: /Nikhil Iyengar/
                                          Nikhil Iyengar, Reg. No. 60,910
                                          Fenwick & West LLP
                                          555 California Street
                                          San Francisco, CA 94104
                                          Phone: (415) 875-2367
                                          Fax: (415) 281-1350